Document 30-2

Filed 08/22/2008

Page 1 of 4

Case 3:08-cv-00441-LAB-RBB

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

FRCP 26 (b) (1): "Parties may obtain discovery regarding any matter...which is relevant to the subject matter in the pending action..." "Rules 26-37 of these rules should be liberally construed in favor of discovery where possible." [Klausen v. Sidney printing and Pub. Co., D.C.Kan. (1967), 271 F. Supp. 783]

Congressional Statement of Findings and Purpose: "Organized crime continues to grow because the evidence-gathering process(es) of the law...are unnecessarily limited in scope and impact." [Section 1 of Pub. L. 91-452]. Plaintiff has been the victim of organized crime. The federal court is his only avenue of redress. Federal law empowers victims to bring cases to federal court for redress, but primarily to aid in the eradication of organized crime in the U.S.A. "... novel remedies and attacking crime on all fronts were made with direct reference to private damages provision of RICO Act, it is in such spirit that all of the act's provision's should be read." [Sedima, S.P.R.L. v. Imrex Co., Inc., U.S.N.Y. (1985), 105 S. Ct. 3275, 87 L. Ed. 2d 346]. The Congressional intent to eliminate crime separates civil RICO actions from all other civil actions. "RICO is to be read broadly and liberally construed." [LaSalle Bank Lake View v. Sebugan, N.D. Ill. (1996), 937 F. Supp. 1309] and [U.S. v. Kaye, C.A.7(Ill.) 1977, 556 F. 2d 855, 54

L. Ed. 277]

In Rose v. Bartle, C.A. 3 (Pa.) 1989, 871 F. 2d 331, county sheriff alleged he was falsely accused of a crime because he did his job when people without authority did not want him to do his job. Federal Court of appeals ruled that his civil RICO claim was valid. The instant case is similar involving false criminal accusations, corruption and racketeering.

"District Court...cannot...undercut rule mandating holding of discovery conference upon proper motion by party..." [Union City Barge Line Inc., v. Union Carbide Corp., C.A. 5 (Tex.) 1987, 823 F. 2d 129].

"Discovery is not limited to issues raised by the pleadings, for discovery itself is designed to help define and clarify the issues." [Oppenheimer Funds Inc. v. Sanders, N.Y., 1978, 98 S. Ct. 2380, 57 L. Ed. 2d 253]. "Free access to facts is essential consideration in dealing with discovery process." [Jackson v. Kroblin Refrigerated Xpress, Inc., D.C.W.Va 1970 49 FRD . 134].

CONCLUSION

The law and the precedents support discovery. All parties have an obligation to proceed through discovery in good faith.

Respectfully submitted by Lantz Arnell, MD

8/22/08

 	_X

CASE NAME:			CASE NUMBER:
ANRELL V. LIEB A	ND LIEB ET. AL.	•	08-cv-44-1 (LAB) (RBB)
THE APPEAL MAY NOT PERFORM THE party to this appeal must complete the info	MAILING OR DELIVERY HIMS Transition below and mail (by first-	SELF OR HERSELF. A -class mail, postage pred	ner party or parties to this appeal. A PARTY To person who is at least 18 years old and is not a baid) or personally deliver the front and back of d or personally delivered, the original may then
	DDOOF OF	. 050,405	
	PROOF OF		
	Mail X	Personal Servic	e
1. At the time of service I was at least 18 y	ears of age and not a party to t	this legal action.	
2. My residence or business address is (sp.	pecify): 416 "B" Ave	nue, Coronado	o, CA 92118
	,		
3. I mailed or personally delivered a copy of	of the Notice of Appeal/Cross-Ap	opeal (Unlimited Civil Cas	se) as follows (complete either a or b):
a Mall. I am a resident of or em	ployed in the county where the r	mailing occurred.	,
(1) I enclosed a copy in an en	velope and		
	ealed envelope with the United S		
our ordinary busi	Iness practices. I am readily fan for mailing. On the same day the ordinary course of business with	miliar with this business's hat correspondence is pla	nce shown in items below, following practice for collecting and processing aced for collection and mailing, it is all Service, in a sealed envelope with
(2) The envelope was address	sed and mailed as follows:		
(a) Name of person serve	ed:	•	
(b) Address on envelope:			
			•
(c) Date of mailing:	,		
(d) Place of mailing (city a	·		•
b. Personal delivery. I personall (1) Name of person served:	y delivered a copy as follows: [MOTION FOR D	ISCOVERY CONFERANCE
(2) Address where delivered:	220 W. Broadway	У	•
•	San Diego, CA		
	ATTN: Cheryl B	rierton, ESQ	·
(3) Date delivered: 8-22-	-08		
(4) Time delivered: 2:30			
declare under penalty of perjury under the la	aws of the State of California tha	at the foregoing is true ar	nd correct.
Date: 8-22-08	•		
•		, _	<u> </u>
· ·		► May	r S.
Maggie Santamaria (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)			(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)
	·		•